Quantum effects of massive modes in a cosmological quantum space-time

Yaser Tavakoli[1,*] and Julio C. Fabris[1,2,†]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Av. Fernando Ferrari 514, Vitória - ES, Brazil*

[2] *National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Kashirskoe sh. 31, Moscow 115409, Russia*

(Dated: December 1, 2015)

The quantum theory of a massive, minimally coupled scalar field on an isotropic cosmological quantum space-time is revisited. The interplay between the quantum background and the massive modes of the field, when disregarding their back-reaction effects, gives rise to a theory of quantum field on an effective, dressed space-time whose isotropy may be broken in the direction of the field propagation. On the resulting dressed geometry, evolution of the massive modes, by analyzing the solutions to the corresponding Klein-Gordon equation, is investigated. In particular, by computing the leading order contributions in adiabatic series, an approximate solution for the mode function is obtained. By using the adiabatic regularization, to the fourth order in expansion series, the renormalization of the stress-energy and Hamiltonian of the quantized field is studied. The problem of particle production is studied here in the light of the classical theory of wave propagation on the effective anisotropic background. To the fourth adiabatic order, expressions for the production amount are derived for the cases of massive and massless modes. Finally, a scenario for production in transition from Planck era to a classical de Sitter regime is addressed. It is shown that, for massive modes, particles are created whose amount depends on the quantum-gravity-induced anisotropy factor and the mass of the field. However, for massless modes, no production occurs to any great extent.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.60.Pp, 98.80.Qc

arXiv:1511.08823v1 [gr-qc] 27 Nov 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum field theory (QFT) in curved space-time provides number of predictions and interpretation of fundamental phenomenas in cosmology and black hole physics [1–4]. The most striking predictions of the theory are the primordial density perturbation spectrum arising from cosmic inflation [5–9], which is responsible for small inhomogeneities measured by a variety of cosmological observations such as the cosmic microwave background (CMB); particle production by time-dependent gravitational field [10, 11]; Hawking radiation and black hole thermodynamics [2]. Many of those phenomenas occur in the early history of the universe, or at the late time stages of gravitational collapse, in the regions where the space-time's geometry, governed by general theory of relativity, inherit singularities [12]. It is widely believed that the geometry of space-time in these regions should be described by a quantum theory of gravity which is free of singularities.

Loop quantum gravity (LQG), as a background independent, non-perturbative approach for quantization of general relativity, is an interesting candidate for quantum gravity [13–15]. A symmetry reduced cosmological model within the framework of LQG is known as loop quantum cosmology (LQC), which is a well-suited setting for analysis of the certain long standing questions on quantum description of the very early universe near the classical singularity [16, 17]. In this setting, quantum nature of the big bang has been investigated for the isotropic FLRW models [18–21] and the simplest anisotropic model [22] of the universe. Those investigations show that the big bang singularity is resolved in quantum gravity and is replaced by a quantum bounce at which the energy density of the universe has a maximum critical value $\rho_{\rm crit} = 0.41\rho_{\rm Pl}$, of the order of Planck density $\rho_{\rm Pl}$ (see Ref. [23] for a review of the recent development of LQC).

In order to explore the quantum field effects in the early history of the universe, one needs to study QFT coupled to a space-time geometry which is quantized due to LQC. The quantum theory of test fields propagating on cosmological quantum space-times have been investigated in the presence of an isotropic, flat FLRW [24] and the anisotropic Bianchi type-I [25] background geometries. Therein, by deparametrization of the Hamiltonian constraint in the homogeneous background a 'scalar field' ϕ (of the background matter source) was regarded as a relational time parameter with respect to which physical variables evolve. This analysis was generalized in Ref. [26], to a case where an 'irrotational

*Electronic address: tavakoli@cosmo-ufes.org

†Electronic address: fabris@pq.cnpq.br

dust' was introduced for the background matter source, which similarly, stands for time variable in quantum theory. The main result of both investigations is that, an effective (classical) background space-time would emerge for the field's propagation, whose metric elements depend on the fluctuations of the quantum geometry operators. Some interesting phenomenological features of those effective geometries were studied in [25–27]. A significant extension of Ref. [24] was recently performed, in order to generalize the standard theory of cosmological perturbations to include the Planck regime [28]. The strategy, therein, was to truncate the classical general relativity coupled to a scalar field, to a sector including homogeneous, isotropic configuration together with the first order inhomogeneous perturbations (constituting the quantum test field φ, as the first order perturbation in matter sector, and the geometric perturbation terms). Then, quantum theory of the truncated phase space was constructed using the techniques of LQG. This framework was applied to explore pre-inflationary dynamics of the early universe [29, 30]. Another framework was provided in Ref. [31] for quantization of linear perturbations on a quantum background space-time, by introduction of a different choice of fundamental variables to that usually taken in quantizing the perturbations on a fixed classical background. In particular, in the presence of a natural gauge-fixing therein, the old variables could be used as fundamental operators which provided a true dynamics in terms of the homogeneous part of the relational time.

In the present paper, we consider a flat FLRW universe in LQC in which the source of gravitational field is a *massless* scalar field ϕ. We consider an inhomogeneous *massive*, minimally coupled scalar field φ propagating on this quantum space-time. When the back-reaction of the (inhomogeneous) field is disregarded, interplay between the field and the background leads to a dressed effective geometry on which quantum modes of the field propagate. In section II, we will show that, in the presence of the *massless* field the resulting dressed space-time is isotropic as the original quantum FLRW geometry (cf. see also [24, 25]). However, if the field is *massive*, quantum gravity effects induce an 'anisotropic' dressed geometry (note that, this is consistent with the main result of Ref. [26]). Our main goal will be to study the theory of fields on the resulting anisotropic space-time. In section III, we will study the status of the quantum vacuum and the renormalization of the stress-energy tensor of test quantum field by using the adiabatic regularization technique. We then discuss in section IV phenomenological aspects of the test field by studying the particle production phenomena from quantum gravity regime in the early universe. Finally, in section V we will present the conclusion and discussion of our work.

II. QUANTUM FIELDS IN QUANTUM SPACE-TIMES

In this section, we study quantum theory of a massive test field φ on a quantum FLRW background. The background geometry is coupled to a massless scalar field ϕ, which plays the role of *internal time* in quantum theory of geometry. In particular, we will reproduce the main results of Ref. [26] in which an *irrotational dust* constitutes the matter sector of the geometry and plays the role of physical time in quantum theory: For quantum evolution of a given *massive* mode of the field, we will obtain a (quantum gravity induced) effective dressed background whose isotropy is broken for that mode.

A. QFT in quantum FLRW background space-time

In order to make a comparison, in the next subsection (see Eqs. (2.14) and (2.13)), between the Schrödinger equation of an inhomogeneous, massive scalar field φ evolving in an isotropic (quantum) space-time and that evolving in an (effective) anisotropic background, we start with the Hamiltonian structure of the scalar field on a more general, Bianchi type-I space-time. Therefore, we consider a simple anisotropic background space-time provided by the line element

$$g_{ab}dx^a dx^b = -N_{x_0}^2(x_0)dx_0^2 + \sum_i^3 a_i^2(x_0)(dx^i)^2 \ . \tag{2.1}$$

The metric (2.1) is equipped with the coordinates $(x_0, \mathbf{x})$, in which $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^3$ (3-torus with coordinates $x^j \in (0, \ell_j)$), and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a generic time coordinate. Let us consider a real (inhomogeneous), minimally coupled, free scalar field $\varphi(x_0, \mathbf{x})$, with the mass m, on this background space-time. It is easy to show that the Hamiltonian of the scalar field can be written as sum of the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)$ of decoupled harmonic oscillators, each of them described by a pair $(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathsf{p}_{\mathbf{k}})$, as [25]

$$H_\varphi(x_0) \ := \ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{L}} H_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) \ = \ \frac{N_{x_0}(x_0)}{2|a_1a_2a_3|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{L}} \left(\mathsf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \omega_k^2(x_0)\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}^2\right) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}$ is a 3-dimensional lattice spanned by $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^3$, with $\mathbb{Z}$ being the set of integers and $\ell^3 \equiv \ell_1\ell_2\ell_3$ [24, 25]. Two conjugate variables $\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathsf{p}_\mathbf{k}$, associated with the $\mathbf{k}$th mode of the field, satisfy the relation $\{\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}, \mathsf{p}_{\mathbf{k}'}\} = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$. Moreover, $\omega_k(x_0)$ is a time-dependent frequency which is defined by

$$\omega_k^2(x_0) \;:=\; |a_1a_2a_3|^2\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{3}(k_i/a_i)^2 + m^2\Big] \,. \tag{2.3}$$

In other words, $\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}$ is the field amplitude for the mode characterized by $\mathbf{k}$, satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation $(\Box - m^2)\varphi = 0$, which is regarded as the equation of motion obtained from Hamiltonian (2.2).

In QFT, for a single mode $\mathbf{k}$, quantization is on the line of the quantum harmonic oscillator with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^{(\mathbf{k})} = L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k})$. The dynamical variables are promoted to operators on this Hilbert space as $\hat{\mathsf{q}}_\mathbf{k}\psi(\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}) = \mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}\psi(\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k})$ and $\hat{\mathsf{p}}_\mathbf{k}\psi(\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}) = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}\psi(\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k})$. Then, the time evolution of any state $\psi(\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k})$ is generated by the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}_\mathbf{k}$ via Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar\partial_{x_0}\psi(x_0, \mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\ell^3 N_{x_0}}{2V}\Big(\hat{\mathsf{p}}_\mathbf{k}^2 + \omega_k^2\hat{\mathsf{q}}_\mathbf{k}^2\Big)\psi(x_0, \mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}) \,, \tag{2.4}$$

where V is the volume[1] of the universe which is given by $V = \ell^3|a_1a_2a_3|$. This concludes the theory of quantum test field propagating on the background Bianchi I geometry. By setting $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 \equiv a(x_0)$, Eq. (2.4) reduces to the evolution equation for quantum test field on an isotropic FLRW background.

On an isotropic background, for a chosen harmonic time coordinate, $x_0 = \tau$, with the lapse function $N_\tau = a^3(\tau)$, the Hamiltonian (2.2) of the test field reduces to

$$H_\varphi^{(\mathrm{iso})} \;=\; \sum_\mathbf{k} H_{\tau,\mathbf{k}} \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\sum_\mathbf{k}\Big(\mathsf{p}_\mathbf{k}^2 + \omega_{\tau,k}^2\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}^2\Big) \,. \tag{2.5}$$

Here, the time-dependent frequency for each mode, is obtained by substituting $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 \equiv a(\tau)$ in Eq. (2.3), as $\omega_{\tau,k}^2 \equiv k^2a^4 + m^2a^6$. The background matter source is assumed to be a *massless* scalar field $\phi(\tau)$. When the background geometry is quantized due to LQC, ϕ serves as an internal time parameter [18]. Thus, after quantization of the background, we will be left with a theory of quantum test field φ, whose wave function, denoted by ψ, evolves with respect to the internal time variable ϕ on the background quantum geometry. For a given mode $\mathbf{k}$, the total kinematical Hilbert space of the geometry-field system is given by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^o \otimes \mathcal{H}_\varphi^{(\mathbf{k})}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^o = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{grav}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi$. As before, the matter sectors are quantized according to the Schrödinger picture with the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^{(\mathbf{k})} = L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathcal{H}_\phi = L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\phi)$. For any physical state $\Psi(\nu, \mathsf{q}_\mathbf{k}, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{(\mathbf{k})}$, the total Hamiltonian constraint operator (by focusing on the $\mathbf{k}$th mode only) can be written as [24]

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\tau,\mathbf{k}}\Psi \;=\; \big(N_\tau\hat{\mathcal{C}}_o + \hat{H}_{\tau,\mathbf{k}}\big)\Psi = 0 \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\tau,\mathbf{k}} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big[\hat{\mathsf{p}}_\mathbf{k}^2 + \big(k^2\hat{a}^4 + m^2\hat{a}^6\big)\hat{\mathsf{q}}_\mathbf{k}^2\Big] \,. \tag{2.7}$$

The term $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_o$ in equation above is the geometrical scalar constraint operator which is given by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_o \equiv \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{grav}} + \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\phi$. It is well-defined on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^o$, so that, the physical states $\Psi_o(\phi, \nu) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{kin}}^o$ are those lying on the kernel of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_o$. Thus, they are solutions to a self-adjoint Hamiltonian constraint equation of the form [18–20]

$$N_\tau\hat{\mathcal{C}}_o\Psi_o(\nu, \phi) \;=\; -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\ell^3}(\partial_\phi^2 + \Theta)\Psi_o(\nu, \phi) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where Θ is a "difference operator" that acts on Ψ_o and involves only gravitational sector ν but not ϕ. Here, ν is the eigenvalue of $\hat{V}_o = \widehat{\ell^3a^3}$, the volume operator of the isotropic background geometry, which acts on Ψ_o as $\hat{V}_o\Psi_o(\nu, \phi) = 2\pi\gamma\ell_{\mathrm{Pl}}|\nu|\Psi_o(\nu, \phi)$.

---

[1] As in LQC of Bianchi I model, we fix a fiducial cell $\mathcal{V}$, and take its edges to lie along the integral curves of the fiducial triad, with coordinate lengths ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3, so that the volume of $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathring{V} = \ell_1\ell_2\ell_3 \equiv \ell^3$. Then, after parametrization of the gravitational phase space by a pair (c^i, p_i), the physical volume reads $V = \sqrt{p_1p_2p_3} = \ell^3|a_1a_2a_3|$. Note that, the relation between the phase space variables is given by $p_i \equiv \epsilon_{ijk}\ell_j\ell_k a_j a_k$ (ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol) [22].

By restricting to the space spanned by the 'positive frequency' solutions to Eq. (2.8) we write [18]

$$-i\hbar\partial_\phi\Psi_o(\nu,\phi) = \hbar\sqrt{\Theta}\Psi_o(\nu,\phi) \;=:\; \hat{H}_o\Psi_o(\nu,\phi)\ . \tag{2.9}$$

This constructs the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^o_{\rm phys}$ of the geometry, endowed with scalar product

$$\langle\Psi_o|\Psi'_o\rangle \;=\; \sum_\nu \Psi^*_o(\nu,\phi_0)\Psi'_o(\nu,\phi_0)\ , \tag{2.10}$$

for any 'instant' of internal time ϕ_0. By substituting Eqs. (2.8) and (2.5) in Eq. (2.6), we obtain a Schrödinger-like equation for the total state $\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$ of the geometry-test field system as [24]

$$-i\hbar\partial_\phi\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi) \;\approx\; \big(\hat{H}_o - \hat{H}_{\phi,\mathbf{k}}\big)\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)\ , \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\phi,\mathbf{k}} \;:=\; \ell^3\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{H}_{\tau,\mathbf{k}}\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ . \tag{2.12}$$

In deriving Eq. (2.11) we have used a a test field approximation, by disregarding the back-reaction of the matter on the background homogeneous geometry, so that, the term $\hat{H}_{\tau,\mathbf{k}}$ can be seen as Hamiltonian of a small perturbation added to the geometrical sector $\hat{H}^2_o$. It should be noticed that, in the present formalism ϕ is well-suited to be an *emergent time* in quantum theory. So, Eq. (2.11) indicates a quantum evolution of the state $\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$, which depends on the $\mathbf{k}$th mode of the test field φ and the quantum geometry encoded in ν.

B. Quantum fields and emerging anisotropies on the background geometry

Our goal in this subsection is to find an evolution equation for the test field's wave function ψ on the quantum FLRW background space-time. To do so, it is useful to work in an "interaction picture". We set $\Psi_{\rm int}(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi) = \exp[-(i\hat{H}_o/\hbar)(\phi-\phi_0)]\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$ in Eq. (2.11), which regards $\hat{H}_o$ as the Hamiltonian of the heavy degree of freedom, and $\hat{H}_{\phi,\mathbf{k}}$ as the Hamiltonian of the light degree of freedom (i.e, a perturbation term). The relational time ϕ_0 is, as before, any fixed instant of time. By disregarding the back-reaction of test field on geometry we can approximate $\Psi(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi) = \Psi_o(\nu,\phi)\otimes\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$. This implies that the geometry evolves through Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_o$ as $\hat{p}_\phi\Psi_o(\nu,\phi) = -i\hbar\partial_\phi\Psi_o(\nu,\phi) = \hat{H}_o\Psi_o(\nu,\phi)$ for any $\Psi_o\in\mathcal{H}^o_{\rm kin}$ in the Heisenberg representation, thus, we can write $\Psi_o(\nu,\phi) = \exp[(i\hat{H}_o/\hbar)(\phi-\phi_0)]\Psi_o(\nu,\phi_0)$. This approximation in the interaction picture factorizes $\Psi_{\rm int}$ as $\Psi_{\rm int}(\nu,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi) = \Psi_o(\nu,\phi_0)\otimes\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$. Now, plugging $\Psi_{\rm int}$ in (2.11) and projecting both side on $\Psi_o(\nu,\phi_0)$ yields an evolution equation for $\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$ as [24]:

$$i\hbar\partial_\phi\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi) \;=\; \frac{\ell^3}{2}\Big[\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle\hat{\mathsf{p}}^2_{\mathbf{k}} + \Big(k^2\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle + m^2\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle\Big)\hat{\mathsf{q}}^2_{\mathbf{k}}\Big]\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)\ . \tag{2.13}$$

The terms like $\langle\hat{B}(\phi)\rangle$ in equation above denote the expectation value of any operator $\hat{B}(\phi)$ on the quantum state $\Psi_o(\nu,\phi_0)$. In the interaction picture here, the state $\Psi_o(\nu,\phi_0)$ of the quantum geometry is described in Heisenberg representation which is frozen at time $\phi=\phi_0$, while the geometrical operator $\hat{a}(\phi) = \hat{V}_o^{1/3}(\phi)/\ell$ evolves in time as $\hat{a}(\phi) = \exp[-(i\hat{H}_o/\hbar)(\phi-\phi_0)]\hat{a}\exp[(i\hat{H}_o/\hbar)(\phi-\phi_0)]$. In addition, the test field's state $\psi(\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}},\phi)$, unlike Ψ_o, evolves in time ϕ due to evolution equation (2.13) in Schrödinger picture.

For a given mode $\mathbf{k}$ of the test field on the Bianchi I background (2.1) with the scale factor $\tilde{a}_i(x_0)$, evolution of the quantum state ψ with respect to the *internal time* $x_0=\phi$ is described by the Schrödinger equation (2.4) as

$$i\hbar\partial_\phi\psi(\phi,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}) \;=\; \frac{\tilde{N}_\phi}{2|\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3|}\Big[\hat{\mathsf{p}}^2_{\mathbf{k}} + \Big(\sum_{i,j,k}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}(k_i\tilde{a}_j\tilde{a}_k)^2 + m^2(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3)^2\Big)\hat{\mathsf{q}}^2_{\mathbf{k}}\Big]\psi(\phi,\mathsf{q}_{\mathbf{k}})\ . \tag{2.14}$$

Here, the lapse function $\tilde{N}_\phi$ corresponding to the internal time ϕ is related to $\tilde{N}_\tau$ through $\tilde{N}_\phi = (\ell^3/\tilde{p}_\phi)\tilde{N}_\tau$ (with $\tilde{N}_\tau = |\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3|$). A comparison between the evolution equations (2.13) and (2.14) provides an effective geometry, with the lapse function $\tilde{N}_\phi$, scale factors $\tilde{a}_i$ and the momentum $\tilde{p}_\phi$, as a background for propagation of quantum modes.

The relations between variables of the effective dressed geometry and those of the original isotropic quantum geometry are given by

$$\tilde{N}_\phi \;=\; \ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3)\;, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{N}_\phi}{\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3}\sum_{i,j,k}^{3} k_i^2(\epsilon_{ijk}\tilde{a}_j^2\tilde{a}_k^2) = k^2\ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\tilde{N}_\phi(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3)m^2 \;=\; m^2\ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle, \tag{2.17}$$

in which $\hat{a}=\hat{a}(\phi)$, and $(\tilde{p}_\phi)^{-1}=\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle$.

There exists two possible scenarios for the resulting effective geometry, provided by Eqs. (2.15)-(2.17), which are summarized as follows:

(i) For a *massless* scalar field, only two equations (2.15) and (2.16) are present. In this case, by replacing $\tilde{N}_\phi$ from (2.15) in the left hand side of (2.16) we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j,k}^{3} k_i^2(\epsilon_{ijk}\tilde{a}_j^2\tilde{a}_k^2) \;=\; k^2\frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle}. \tag{2.18}$$

This corresponds to one equation for three unknown variables, thus, there are infinitely many solutions for the scale factors and the resulting dressed background. A simple solution is given by setting $\tilde{a}_1=\tilde{a}_2=\tilde{a}_3=\tilde{a}(\phi)$, so that, the underlying dressed geometry turns out to be an effective FLRW metric with the lapse $\tilde{N}_\phi = (\tilde{p}_\phi)^{-1}\ell^3\tilde{a}^3(\phi)$, and the scale factor $\tilde{a}(\phi)$ given by [24]

$$\tilde{N}_\phi \;=\; \ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle^{3/4}\;, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\tilde{a}^4 \;=\; \frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle}\;. \tag{2.20}$$

Notice that, in the *mean field* approximation $\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle \sim \langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle\langle\hat{a}^4(\phi)\rangle$, thus, the scale factor and the lapse function of the effective geometry reduce, respectively, to $\tilde{a}^4(\phi)\sim\langle\hat{a}^4(\phi)\rangle$, and $\tilde{N}_\phi=\ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle\langle\hat{a}^4(\phi)\rangle^{3/4}$.

(ii) For a *massive* test field ($m\neq 0$), the relations between variables are given by all three equations (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17). In particular, from Eqs. (2.15) and (2.17) we find a relation for the effective lapse function as

$$\tilde{N}_\phi \;=\; \ell^3\sqrt{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}\;. \tag{2.21}$$

Then, the system of equations (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) reduces to two equations for three unknown variables $\tilde{a}_1,\tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_3$:

$$\sum_{i}^{3}\left(\frac{k_i}{\tilde{a}_i}\right)^2 \;=\; k^2\frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}\;, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3 \;=\; \left(\frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\;. \tag{2.23}$$

Hence, for a generic case of wave number $\mathbf{k}$, no unique solution exists. In the following of this section, we present a particular solution to these equations.

Let us consider a specific direction for propagation of the test field, given by a wave number $\mathbf{k}=(0,0,k)$. By substituting this in Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23) we obtain

$$\tilde{a}_3^2 \;=\; \frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^6\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}\;. \tag{2.24}$$

$$\tilde{a}_1^2\tilde{a}_2^2 \;=\; \frac{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^4(\phi)\hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle}{\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle}\;, \tag{2.25}$$

Still Eq. (2.25) provides infinitely many solutions for the scale factors $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$. However, one can consider a symmetric case in which, the scale factors in the directions orthogonal to the propagation of the field are equal. By setting this in Eq. (2.25) we get

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2 = \left(\frac{\langle \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{a}^4 \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle}{\langle \hat{H}_o^{-1} \rangle} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} . \tag{2.26}$$

A comparison between Eqs. (2.20) and (2.26) shows that, the corresponding dressed geometry is of the Bianchi type-I metric with a particular degree of symmetry: the scale factor $\tilde{a}_3$, in the direction of propagation, is deviated from isotropy of the underlying dressed FLRW metric, given in the case of massless field. However, the scale factors $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$ in the plane orthogonal to the propagation of the (massive) particles are unchanged with respect to the FLRW line element probed by massless field; $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2 = \tilde{a} \neq \tilde{a}_3$.

Let denote the lapse function of the dressed geometry induced by the massless field, by $\tilde{N}_o$ (given by Eq. (2.19)), and the lapse of the dressed metric provided by massive fields, by $\tilde{N}$, (given by Eq. (2.21)). We find a relation between $\tilde{N}_o$ and $\tilde{N}$ as

$$\frac{\tilde{N}}{\tilde{N}_o} \;=\; \left[\frac{\langle \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{a}^6 \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle^2}{\langle \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{a}^4 \hat{H}_o^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle^3} \langle \hat{H}_o^{-1} \rangle \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \;=:\; \sigma(\phi) \; . \tag{2.27}$$

A comparison between Eqs. (2.20) and (2.24) leads to a relation between the scale factors $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3$ of two dressed geometries as

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2 = \tilde{a} \; , \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{a}_3 = \sigma \tilde{a} \; . \tag{2.28}$$

This indicates that, in the presence of a massive field, quantum gravity effects give rise to an effective Bianchi type-I geometry on which the initial quantum modes of scalar field propagate[2]. A particular feature of this induced geometry is that, the scale factor $\tilde{a}_3$, in the direction of propagation (in this case, the x^3 axis), is deformed with a factor σ, with respect to the scale factor $\tilde{a}$, in the case of a massless field. However, in the direction of $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$, orthogonal to the direction of propagation (i.e., the $x^1 - x^2$ plane), the scale factors are unaffected and are equal to $\tilde{a}$. Our result is consistent with the theory of massive quantum field propagating on a quantum geometry (induced by LQC) coupled to a dust field [26]. In both models, field's modes probe an effective dressed geometry which is anisotropized in the direction of field propagation $\mathbf{k}$, due to quantum gravity effects.

In the very early universe, neither assumption of the spherically symmetry nor of isotropy can be strictly valid, thus, it is natural to consider plane symmetry (e.g., locally rotational symmetric Bianchi type-I model) which is less restrictive than spherically symmetry. On the other hand, anisotropic stage in the early history of the universe is one of the contexts in which quantum field effects may be of practical importance in cosmology. However, few investigations of QFT on an anisotropic space-time in the Planck regime are at hand (cf. see for example [25]). In the next section, we will study QFT on the resulting (quantum-gravity induced) anisotropic geometry and will discuss some of its interesting features.

III. QUANTUM FIELDS IN EFFECTIVE DRESSED SPACE-TIME

In the previous section we have seen that, in the Planck era, where quantum gravity effects are significant, the theory of quantized massive modes on a quantum FLRW space-time, reduces to the quantum theory of the same modes on a (dressed) Bianchi type-I background with a particular anisotropy in the direction of field propagation. Our aim in this section, is to study the quantum theory of field, as a collection of infinitely many of those massive modes, on the resulting anisotropic dressed geometry. In particular, by analyzing the solutions to the corresponding Klein-Gordon equation, we find a convenient vacuum state for the field. Then, we compute the expectation value of the stress-energy tensor and Hamiltonian of the field in that vacuum state.

---

[2] Note that, in order to obtain isotropic limit in our equations, we can simply set $\sigma = 1$.

A. The Klein-Gordon equation for massive modes in dressed geometry

In the effective theory here, the field's modes are propagating on a dressed Bianchi type-I background with the line element

$$\tilde{g}_{ab}dx^a dx^b \;=\; -\tilde{N}^2(\phi)d\phi^2 + \sum_i \tilde{a}_i^2(\phi)(dx^i)^2 \;, \tag{3.1}$$

whose components are given by Eqs. (2.27) and (2.28). By introducing an auxiliary field $\chi_{\mathbf{k}} := \tilde{c}^{1/2}(\tilde{\eta})\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ for a given mode $\mathbf{k}$, the corresponding Klein-Gordon equation for that mode reads [1]

$$\chi''_{\mathbf{k}} + \Big[\tilde{c}(\tilde{\eta})\Big(\sum_i \frac{k_i^2}{\tilde{c}_i} + m^2 - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{6}\Big) + Q\Big]\chi_{\mathbf{k}} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.2}$$

Here, we have defined

$$\tilde{c} := (\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3)^{\frac{2}{3}} = (\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2\tilde{c}_3)^{\frac{1}{3}} \;, \qquad \tilde{c}_i := \tilde{a}_i^2 \;, \qquad d_i := \frac{\tilde{c}'_i}{\tilde{c}_i} \;, \qquad Q := \frac{1}{72}\sum_{i<j}(d_i - d_j)^2 \;. \tag{3.3}$$

Using these relations together with Eq. (2.28) we obtain $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{a}^2$, $\tilde{c}_3 = \sigma^2\tilde{a}^2$ and $\tilde{c} = \sigma^{2/3}\tilde{a}^2$. A prime denotes differentiation with respect to the conformal time[3] $\tilde{\eta}$, which is defined by using the conformal lapse $N_{\tilde{\eta}} = (\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3)^{1/3} = \tilde{c}^{1/2}$ in the relation $\tilde{N}d\phi = N_{\tilde{\eta}}d\tilde{\eta}$:

$$d\tilde{\eta} \;=\; \ell^3\langle\hat{H}_o^{-1}\rangle\tilde{c}(\phi)d\phi \;. \tag{3.4}$$

By substituting $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2$ and $\tilde{c}_3$ in relations of (3.3), we obtain d_i and Q, in terms of $\tilde{a}$ and σ as

$$d_1 \;=\; d_2 \;=\; 2\frac{\tilde{a}'}{\tilde{a}} \;, \qquad d_3 \;=\; 2\frac{\tilde{a}'}{\tilde{a}} + 2\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} \;, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Q \;=\; \frac{1}{36}(d_1 - d_3)^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{9}\frac{\sigma'^2}{\sigma^2} \cdot \tag{3.6}$$

In terms of these variables, we write the the Ricci curvature for the corresponding Bianchi geometry, as

$$\mathcal{R} \;=\; \tilde{c}^{-1}\Big(3D' + \frac{3}{2}D^2 + 6Q\Big) \;=\; 6\tilde{c}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\frac{\sigma''}{\sigma} - \frac{2}{9}\frac{\sigma'^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}\frac{\tilde{a}'}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{\tilde{a}''}{\tilde{a}} + Q\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where $D(\tilde{\eta})$ is defined by

$$D(\tilde{\eta}) \;:=\; \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_i \;=\; \frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}} \;=\; \frac{2}{3}\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} + 2\frac{\tilde{a}'}{\tilde{a}} \cdot \tag{3.8}$$

Notice that, by taking the limit $\sigma \to 1$ we recover the classical relations, so that, the curvature (3.7) tends to $\mathcal{R}_o = 6a''/a^3$, the curvature of a flat FLRW space-time, as $\sigma \to 1$.

It is more convenient to rewrite the Klein-Gordon equation (3.2) in the form

$$\chi''_{\mathbf{k}} + \Omega_k^2(\tilde{\eta})\chi_{\mathbf{k}} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{3.9}$$

where we have defined the frequency Ω_k as

$$\Omega_k^2(\tilde{\eta}) \;:=\; \tilde{\omega}_k^2(\tilde{\eta}) + \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta}) \;, \tag{3.10}$$

---

[3] At the fundamental level, evolution on quantum geometry is described by a *relational time* variable (like the massless scalar field ϕ herein our model) rather than cosmic or conformal time. However, one can descend to a description in terms of cosmic time $\tilde{t}$ or conformal time $\tilde{\eta}$ of the effective geometry $\tilde{g}_{ab}$, that incorporates the quantum gravity corrections. Then, the bounce is assumed to occur at $\tilde{\eta}_b$.

with $\tilde{\omega}_k$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_k^2(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \tilde{c}\Big(\sum_i k_i^2/\tilde{c}_i + m^2\Big)\;, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; -\big(D^2 + 2D'\big)/4\;. \tag{3.12}$$

By replacing $\tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{c}_i$ in Eqs. (3.11) and (3.12) we can write

$$\tilde{\omega}_k^2(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \tilde{k}^2(\tilde{\eta}) + m^2\tilde{c}(\tilde{\eta})\;, \tag{3.13}$$

with $\tilde{k}^2 \equiv \sigma^{-4/3}k^2$, and

$$\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2(\tilde{\eta})}{\tilde{c}^2(\tilde{\eta})} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{c}''(\tilde{\eta})}{\tilde{c}(\tilde{\eta})} \;=\; \frac{2}{9}\frac{\sigma'^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}\frac{\tilde{a}'}{\tilde{a}} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\sigma''}{\sigma} - \frac{\tilde{a}''}{\tilde{a}}\;. \tag{3.14}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{Q} = Q - (\tilde{c}/6)\mathcal{R}$. In the classical limit, where $\sigma \to 1$ (and $\tilde{a} \to a$), we obtain $\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta}) = -a''(\eta)/a(\eta) = -(a^2/6)\mathcal{R}_o$ and $\Omega_k^2 = (k^2 + m^2a^2 - a''/a)$.

There exists a general mode solution $v_k(\tilde{\eta})$ to the Klein-Gordon (3.9), i.e., the mode function[4] v_k obeying the equation $v_k'' + (\tilde{\omega}_k^2 + \mathcal{Q})v_k = 0$, that, with its complex conjugate v_k^*, form a complete set of orthonormal basis with scalar product:

$$W(v_k^*, v_k) \;:=\; v_k v_k^{*\prime} - v_k^* v_k' \;=\; 2i\;. \tag{3.15}$$

Then, any solution $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\eta})$ of Eq. (3.9) with equal $\mathbf{k}$ can be expanded as[5]

$$\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[A_{\mathbf{k}}^- v_k^*(\tilde{\eta}) + A_{-\mathbf{k}}^+ v_k(\tilde{\eta})\right]\;, \tag{3.16}$$

where $A_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$ are constants of integration. Notice that, in quantum theory they are 'annihilation' and 'creation' operators for each mode $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$. With normalization (3.15), $A_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$ satisfy commutation relations

$$[\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^-, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}'}^-] \;=\; [\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^+, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+] = 0\;, \qquad\qquad [\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^-, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+] \;=\; \hbar\ell^3\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\;. \tag{3.17}$$

A choice of basis with *positive frequency* solutions $v_k(\tilde{\eta})$ determines a vacuum state $|0\rangle$, which can be defined as the eigenstate of the annihilation operators with zero eigenvalue, i.e., $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^-|0\rangle = 0$. Consequently, a Fock space is generated for the quantum theory by repeatedly acting on the vacuum by creation operators $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^+$. From reality condition for the scalar field, we have that $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} = \varphi_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$, and since $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} = \tilde{c}^{-1/2}\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$, we subsequently obtain $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tilde{\eta}) = \chi_{-\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\eta})$. By imposing this last relation into Eq. (3.16) we find $A_{\mathbf{k}}^+ = (A_{\mathbf{k}}^-)^*$. Then, the general solution for the (auxiliary) scalar field satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation can be expressed by

$$\chi(\tilde{\eta}, \mathbf{x}) \;=\; \frac{1}{\mathring{V}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{L}}\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\eta}, \mathbf{x})\;, \tag{3.18}$$

(with $\mathring{V} = \ell^3$) as the sum of all modes of the field $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\eta}, \mathbf{x})$ given by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{k}} \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[A_{\mathbf{k}}^- v_k^*(\tilde{\eta})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + A_{\mathbf{k}}^+ v_k(\tilde{\eta})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\right]. \tag{3.19}$$

Note that, in equation above we have changed the integration variable as $\mathbf{k} \to -\mathbf{k}$.

---

[4] Because of the specific symmetry of the herein effective Bianchi I geometry, as background for field propagation in the direction $|\mathbf{k}| = k_3 = k$, the subscription of the mode function $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ can be written as v_k.

[5] The normalization condition (3.15) for $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ provides that the corresponding annihilation and creation operators $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^-$ and $\hat{A}_{-\mathbf{k}}^+$ satisfy the canonical commutation relations in quantum theory.

B. The adiabatic condition on vacuum states

In quantum theory of scalar field on the dressed geometry (3.1) (in the Planck era with $\tilde{\eta} \lesssim \eta_p$), we can construct a Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{\rm F}$ by defining a vacuum state $|0\rangle$, which is associated to the 'positive frequency' solution $v_k(\tilde{\eta})$ of Eq. (3.9):

$$v_k'' + \big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2(\tilde{\eta}) + \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta})\big)v_k = 0 \ . \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, v_k satisfies the normalization condition (3.15). Different families of solutions provide different definitions of the vacuum state. Under these conditions, we can determine evolution of the quantum fields on the quantum gravity induced dressed space-time. The parameter $\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{\eta})$, given in (3.14), has a linear dependence on the curvature of $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ and introduces a physical length $L(\tilde{\eta})$ to the problem. For the frequency $\Omega_k^2(\tilde{\eta})$ to be positive, the wave-number must always satisfy an inequality $k^2 \leq k_\star^2$, where $k_\star^2(\tilde{\eta}) \equiv \sigma^2\tilde{a}^2\big(\tilde{c}''/2\tilde{c}^2 - \tilde{c}'^2/4\tilde{c}^3 - m^2\big)$. In this case the resulting vacuum state will be well-defined for modes v_k with wavelengths shorter than a length scale $L(\tilde{\eta}) = \sigma\tilde{a}/k_\star$. Then, modes with large momentum, $k/\tilde{a}_3 \gg 1/L$, describe vacuum in short distances. This respects the regularity condition for a natural choice of the mode function in the *ultra-violet* regime with $(\tilde{a}_3/kL) \to 0$, where the curvature has negligible effects and solutions to (3.20) reduces to the standard mode function $e^{-ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$ in Minkowski space. This regime constitutes a limit of arbitrary slow time $\tilde{\eta}$ variation of the metric functions $\tilde{a}_i(\tilde{\eta})$; the so-called *adiabatic* regime.

Our aim is determine the mode functions that describe the physical vacuum and particles. To define the adiabatic vacuum modes, one can employ a positive-frequency, generalized WKB form [32]:

$$\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta}) \ = \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{W_k(\tilde{\eta})}}\exp\Big(-i\int^{\tilde{\eta}} W_k(\eta)d\eta\Big), \tag{3.21}$$

which yields an approximate solution to the Klein-Gordon equation (3.20). We note that $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$ are guaranteed to satisfy the Wronskian condition (3.15) for any real, non-negative function $W_k(\tilde{\eta})$. An appropriate function $W_k(\tilde{\eta})$ is given by the method of Chakraborty[6] [34] as

$$W_k(\tilde{\eta}) \ = \ \big[Y(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})(1+\underline{\epsilon_4})\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \ , \tag{3.22}$$

in which we have set $Y \equiv \Omega_k^2(\tilde{\eta})$, and

$$\underline{\epsilon_2} \ := \ -Y^{-\frac{3}{4}}\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}\Big(Y^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}Y^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big), \tag{3.23}$$

$$\underline{\epsilon_4} \ := \ -Y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})^{-\frac{3}{4}}\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}\Big\{[Y(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big\}. \tag{3.24}$$

If $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$ is a solution for the exact mode function satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation (3.20), then $W(\tilde{\eta})$ therein is required to satisfy the relation (3.22). However, instead of solving for W_k exactly, one can generate asymptotic series in order of time $\tilde{\eta}$ derivatives of the background metric. Terminating this series at a given order, it defines an adiabatic mode $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$, to that order. Thus, up to an order n, denoted by $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{a}_i/kL_{n+\varepsilon})^{n+\varepsilon}$ (being power of time variation of the $\tilde{a}_i$ components of the metric), the asymptotic adiabatic expansion of the frequency W_k is defined to match $W_k(\tilde{\eta}) = W_k^{(0)} + W_k^{(2)} + \cdots + W_k^{(n)}$, which is obtained for higher order estimate by iteration. Since the mode functions are specified by the adiabatic expansion scheme (3.21), the expectation values of the energy-momentum of the field, $\langle 0|\hat{T}_{ab}|0\rangle$, with respect to the adiabatic vacuum $|0\rangle$, can be computed. It can be shown that all ultra-violet divergences are contained in terms of adiabatic order equal to and smaller than *four* [33] (see next subsection). Therefore, we restrict ourself to the *fourth* order adiabatic states, by setting $n = 4$, and define $W_k(\tilde{\eta})$ to match the terms in

$$W_k(\tilde{\eta}) \ = \ W_k^{(0)} + W_k^{(2)} + W_k^{(4)} \ , \tag{3.25}$$

that fall slowly in $\tilde{\omega}_k$, rather than demanding that $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$ satisfies the exact mode equation (3.20). At the appropriate rate (say, at the initial quantum bounce[7] $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}_b$), given by the asymptotic conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |v_k(\tilde{\eta}_b)| \ &= \ \big|\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta}_b)\big|\Big(1+\mathcal{O}\big(\tilde{a}_i/kL_{4+\varepsilon}\big)^{4+\varepsilon}\Big) \ , \\ |v_k'(\tilde{\eta}_b)| \ &= \ \big|\underline{v}_k'(\tilde{\eta}_b)\big|\Big(1+\mathcal{O}\big(\tilde{a}_i/kL_{4+\varepsilon}\big)^{4+\varepsilon}\Big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

---

[6] See also Ref. [33] for the solutions in the case of a *conformally* coupled scalar field on an anisotropic space-time

[7] The problem of initial conditions in the early universe is still under discussion, which might be solved in the framework of a quantum theory of gravity. Therefore, a natural choice for the preferred instant of time in LQC is provided by the quantum bounce, at $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}_b$.

(with positive real number ε), the field's exact mode functions $v_k(\tilde{\eta})$ match the adiabatic functions $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$ (to the order four). If the conditions (3.26) are held for mode functions at some initial time $\tilde{\eta}_b$, they will be held for all times $\tilde{\eta}$. That is, an observable vacuum state associated to $v_k(\tilde{\eta})$, given at any time $\tilde{\eta}$, will be of 4th order.

By discarding terms of adiabatic order higher than four in (3.22) we obtain

$$W_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \tilde{\omega}_k(1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \;, \tag{3.27}$$

where the k-dependent parameters ϵ_2, ϵ_4 are defined as

$$\epsilon_2 \;=\; \underline{\epsilon_2} + \tilde{\omega}_k^{-2}\mathcal{Q} \;, \tag{3.28}$$

$$\epsilon_4 \;=\; \underline{\epsilon_4} + \underline{\epsilon_2}\tilde{\omega}_k^{-2}\mathcal{Q} \;. \tag{3.29}$$

The leading order term of $\underline{\epsilon_2}$ is of second order, whereas the leading order in $\underline{\epsilon_4}$ is four. Thus, ϵ_2 contains terms of orders two, four and higher, while ϵ_4 contains leading order term four. Of course we will consider only terms until the fourth order in their expressions. Notice that we have dropped terms like $\underline{\epsilon_2\epsilon_4}$ because they are of sixth order or more. For detailed expressions of ϵ_2 and ϵ_4 see appendix A.

C. Adiabatic renormalization of the energy-momentum tensor

A key step in constructing a theory of quantum fields is identifying the energy-momentum tensor of the quantized field, which is presumably obtainable from the divergent expression for the energy-momentum tensor that results from the formal Lagrangian field theory. In this subsection, we follow the method of Ref. [32] by applying the "adiabatic regularization" to the anisotropic dressed metric (3.1). The divergences in the energy-momentum tensor are isolated in the three leading terms of asymptotic expansion corresponding to an adiabatic limit, i.e., the limit of slow time dependence of the metric (3.1). The quantity expanded is the expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor with respect to the approximate vacuum state associated to the mode function defined in (3.21).

The energy-momentum tensor of a minimally coupled, massive scalar field φ is given by

$$T_{ab} \;=\; \nabla_a\varphi\nabla_b\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{ab}\big(\tilde{g}^{cd}\nabla_c\varphi\nabla_d\varphi + m^2\varphi^2\big). \tag{3.30}$$

Let $|0\rangle$ be a normalized vacuum state annihilated by all $\hat{A}^-_{\mathbf{k}}$. With respect to this vacuum state, the *formal* expression for the expectation value of the energy density operator, $\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle = -\langle 0|\hat{T}^0_0|0\rangle$, of the field $\varphi(\tilde{\eta},\mathbf{x})$ on the dressed space-time (3.1) is obtained as

$$\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle \;:=\; \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3\tilde{c}^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\rho_k[v_k(\tilde{\eta})] \;, \tag{3.31}$$

where ρ_k is given by

$$\rho_k \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big[|v_k'|^2 + \Big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2 + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2}\Big)|v_k|^2 - \frac{\tilde{c}'}{2\tilde{c}}\big(v_k^*v_k' + v_kv_k'^*\big)\Big] \;. \tag{3.32}$$

In this formalism, the quantum theory of test field, reduces to a consideration of the classical wave equation (3.20) for v_k. The expression $\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle$ is infinite and must be renormalized by subtracting the divergent terms. Therefore, in the following, we introduce the adiabatic regularization technique in order to handle with divergences of the energy and pressure components of the quantized field.

The adiabatic regularization is an efficient method to handle the issue of ultra-violet divergences of energy-momentum components, where the divergences are contained in the three leading order terms of adiabatic expansion. The subtraction is done mode by mode, for each k in the summand. We start from the (adiabatic) regularization of energy density operator. We compute $\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle_{\rm ren}$, up to the *fourth* adiabatic order, as

$$\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle_{\rm ren} \;=\; \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3\tilde{c}^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\Big(\rho_k[v_k(\tilde{\eta})] - \underline{\rho_k}(\tilde{\eta})\Big), \tag{3.33}$$

where the subtraction term $\underline{\rho_k}(\tilde{\eta}) \equiv \rho_k[\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})]$ (being the adiabatic vacuum energy for each mode) is needed to regularize the energy density. Here $\underline{\rho_k}$ is obtained by the terms of zeroth, second and fourth adiabatic orders in the expansion of the summand as

$$\underline{\rho_k}(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \rho_k^{(0)} + \rho_k^{(2)} + \rho_k^{(4)} \;. \tag{3.34}$$

By replacing $\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})$ in (3.32), up to fourth order (cf. see Eqs. (A8) and (A9) in appendix A for expressions of $\underline{v}_k$ and $\underline{v}'_k$), we obtain

$$\rho_k^{(0)} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k}{2} , \tag{3.35}$$

$$\rho_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16}\frac{(\tilde{\omega}'_k)^2}{\tilde{\omega}_k^3} + \frac{1}{16\tilde{\omega}_k}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2} + \frac{\tilde{c}'}{8\tilde{c}}\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k^2} , \tag{3.36}$$

$$\rho_k^{(4)} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k}{16}\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2 + \frac{1}{16}\Big(\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}_k}\frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}}\Big)\epsilon_2'^{(3)} - \frac{1}{32}\Big(\frac{(\tilde{\omega}'_k)^2}{\tilde{\omega}_k^3} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}_k}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2} + 2\frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}}\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k^2}\Big)\epsilon_2^{(2)} . \tag{3.37}$$

The expressions for $\epsilon_2^{(2)}$ and $\epsilon_2^{(4)}$ are given in appendix A. Notice that, the terms (3.35)-(3.37) are *state-independent* and local in the background geometry. The significance of Eq. (3.34) is that the ultra-violet divergences associated with the zeroth, second and fourth-order terms can be removed by renormalization.

The formal expression for the vacuum expectation value of the pressure operator is given by

$$\langle 0|\hat{P}_j|0\rangle := \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3\tilde{c}^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} P_{j,k}[v_k(\tilde{\eta})] , \tag{3.38}$$

where, for each mode v_k we have

$$P_{j,k} = \frac{\tilde{c}_j}{4}\Big[|v'_k|^2 - \Big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2} - 2k_j^2\frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{c}_j}\Big)|v_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}}\big(v_k v_k^{*\prime} + v'_k v_k^*\big)\Big] . \tag{3.39}$$

Since $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ for the test field, so,

$$P_{1,k} = P_{2,k} = \frac{\tilde{a}^2}{4}\Big[|v'_k|^2 - \Big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2}\Big)|v_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}}\big(v_k v_k^{*\prime} + v'_k v_k^*\big)\Big] , \tag{3.40}$$

which are the pressure components, for each mode k, in the isotropic plane orthogonal to the field's propagation. Moreover, for $k_3 = k$ we obtain

$$P_{3,k} = \sigma^2 P_{1,k} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}k^2|v_k|^2 \tag{3.41}$$

which is the anisotropic component of the pressure for the kth mode in the direction of field's propagation.

The renormalized vacuum expectation value of the stress operator is obtained by subtracting the divergent terms as

$$\langle 0|\hat{P}_j|0\rangle_{\rm ren} = \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3\tilde{c}^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\Big(P_{j,k}[v_k(\tilde{\eta})] - \underline{P_{j,k}}\Big). \tag{3.42}$$

Here, the subtraction term $\underline{P_{j,k}} \equiv P_{j,k}[\underline{v}_k(\tilde{\eta})]$ associated to the vacuum expectation value, is obtained, up to the fourth adiabatic order, as

$$\underline{P_{j,k}}(\tilde{\eta}) = P_{j,k}^{(0)} + P_{j,k}^{(2)} + P_{j,k}^{(4)} . \tag{3.43}$$

The zeroth, second and fourth order terms of $\underline{P_{j,k}}$ in the right hand side of (3.43) are given by

$$P_{j,k}^{(0)} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2\tilde{\omega}_k}k_j^2 , \tag{3.44}$$

$$P_{j,k}^{(2)} = \frac{\tilde{c}_j}{4\tilde{\omega}_k}\Big[\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{4\tilde{c}^2} + \frac{(\tilde{\omega}'_k)^2}{4\tilde{\omega}_k^2} + \frac{\tilde{c}'}{2\tilde{c}}\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k} + \Big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2 - k_j^2\frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{c}_j}\Big)\epsilon_2^{(2)}\Big], \tag{3.45}$$

$$P_{j,k}^{(4)} = \frac{\tilde{c}_j}{4\tilde{\omega}_k}\Big[\Big(\tilde{\omega}_k^2 - k_j^2\frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{c}_j}\Big)\big(\epsilon_2^{(4)} + \epsilon_4^{(4)}\big) + \Big(\frac{3}{4}k_j^2\frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{c}_j} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k^2}{2}\Big)\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2 + \Big(\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{4\tilde{\omega}_k} + \frac{\tilde{c}'}{4\tilde{c}}\Big)\epsilon_2'^{(3)} - \Big(\frac{(\tilde{\omega}'_k)^2}{8\tilde{\omega}_k^2} + \frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{8\tilde{c}^2} + \frac{\tilde{c}'}{4\tilde{c}}\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k}\Big)\epsilon_2^{(2)}\Big]. \tag{3.46}$$

We have presented the time-dependent functions $\epsilon_2^{(2)}$, $\epsilon_2^{(4)}$ and $\epsilon_4^{(4)}$ in the appendix A.

The formal expression for the vacuum expectation value of the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}_\varphi$, for the quantized massive field, on the effective background (3.1) is obtained as

$$\langle 0|\hat{H}_\varphi|0\rangle \;=\; \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\tilde{H}_k[v_k(\tilde{\eta})]\;, \tag{3.47}$$

where, $\tilde{H}_k$ is the expectation value of the Hamiltonian for kth mode. By setting $\tilde{N}_{x_0} = \tilde{c}^{1/2}(\tilde{\eta})$ we achieve the expression for $\tilde{H}_k$ in conformal time as

$$\tilde{H}_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big[|v_k'|^2+\Big(\frac{1}{4}\frac{\tilde{c}'^2}{\tilde{c}^2}+\tilde{\omega}_k^2\Big)|v_k|^2-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{c}'}{\tilde{c}}\big(v_kv_k^{*\prime}+v_k'v_k^*\big)\Big] \;=\; \rho_k(\tilde{\eta})\;. \tag{3.48}$$

Therefore, it is straightforward to show that the renormalized vacuum expectation value of the field's Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\hat{H}_\varphi|0\rangle_{\rm ren} \;&=\; \frac{\hbar}{\ell^3}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\Big(\tilde{H}_k[v_k(\tilde{\eta})]-\underline{H_k}(\tilde{\eta})\Big)\\ &=\; \tilde{c}^2(\tilde{\eta})\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle_{\rm ren}\;,\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

where $\underline{H_k}=\tilde{H}_k(\underline{v}_k)$, is given, up to the fourth order, by

$$\underline{H_k}(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \tilde{c}^2\big(\rho_k^{(0)}+\rho_k^{(2)}+\rho_k^{(4)}\big)\;, \tag{3.50}$$

The terms $\rho_k^{(n)}$ (with $n=1,2,3$) are given by Eqs. (3.35), (3.36) and (3.37). Therefore, expectation values of renormalized Hamiltonian operator (3.49) is well-defined on any fourth order adiabatic state.

IV. QUANTUM PARTICLE PRODUCTION

The Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{\rm F}$ for the quantum field φ propagating on the dressed Bianchi I background (3.1) is constructed from a vacuum state $|0\rangle$, which is determined by a choice of the positive frequency solution $u_k(\tilde{\eta})$ satisfying (3.20). Then, any two solutions u_k and $\underline{u}_k$ of (3.20), are related through the time-independent (complex) Bogolyubov coefficients α_k and β_k by

$$\underline{u}_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \alpha_k u_k(\tilde{\eta})+\beta_k u_k^*(\tilde{\eta})\;. \tag{4.1}$$

Since u_k and $\underline{u}_k$ are normalized due to the condition (3.15), the coefficients α_k and β_k satisfy the relation $|\alpha_k|^2-|\beta_k|^2=1$. By substituting both sides of Eq. (4.1) in (3.19) we find the Bogolyubov transformation: a relation between creation and annihilation operators associated with two families of mode function, as

$$\hat{A}^-_{\mathbf{k}} \;=\; \alpha_k\underline{\hat{A}}^-_{\mathbf{k}}+\beta_k^*\underline{\hat{A}}^+_{\mathbf{k}}\;. \tag{4.2}$$

In the Heisenberg picture, the initial vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the system for all times. The physical number operator $\hat{\rm N}_{\mathbf{k}}$ which counts excitation/particles of u_k modes, yields the the mean number of particles in the $|0\rangle$ vacuum, associated to the 'under-barred' modes:

$$\langle 0|\hat{\rm N}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle \;=\; (\hbar\ell^3)^{-1}\langle 0|\hat{A}^-_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{A}^+_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle \;=\; |\beta_k|^2\;. \tag{4.3}$$

In other words, the vacuum state associated to the u_k-mode contains $N_k=|\beta_k|^2$ particles in the vacuum state associated to $\underline{u}_k$-mode.

A. Particle production in the sub-Planckian adiabatic limit

We may consider the solution of Eq. (3.20) in the WKB form [10, 35] as

$$v_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_k}}\Big[\alpha_k e_k(\tilde{\eta})+\beta_k e_k^*(\tilde{\eta})\Big]\;, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$e_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;:=\; \exp\Big(-i\int^{\tilde{\eta}}\tilde{\omega}_k(\eta)d\eta\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

With the introduction of functions α_k and β_k we have the freedom to impose an additional condition on the time-$\tilde{\eta}$ derivative of v_k such that

$$v_k'(\tilde{\eta}) \;=\; -i\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_k}\Big[\alpha_k e_k(\tilde{\eta}) - \beta_k e_k^*(\tilde{\eta})\Big]\;. \tag{4.6}$$

Then, from the Wronskian condition (3.15) on v_k we have that $|\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$. We now define $N_k = |\beta_k|^2$ to be the amount of particle production. By inverting the Eqs. (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain

$$\beta_k \;=\; \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_k}}{2}\left(v_k - \frac{i}{\tilde{\omega}_k}v_k'\right)e_k\;. \tag{4.7}$$

We fix the initial condition $\alpha_k = 1$ and $\beta_k = 0$ at the quantum bounce so that, no particle have been created at $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}_b$. Inserting this in Eqs. (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain $v_k(\tilde{\eta}_b) = 1/\tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{\eta}_b)$ with $e_k(\tilde{\eta}_b) = 1$, and $v_k'(\tilde{\eta}_b) = -i\tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{\eta}_b)v_k(\tilde{\eta}_b)$. By making use of relation (3.15), the amount of particle production is obtained as

$$N_k \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big(\tilde{\omega}_k|v_k|^2 + \tilde{\omega}_k^{-1}|v_k'|^2 - 2\Big)\;. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, the energy density of created particles is given by

$$\rho_{\rm par} \;=\; \frac{1}{\mathring{V}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\varrho_k(\tilde{\eta})\;, \tag{4.9}$$

where ϱ_k is the energy density of each mode:

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_k(\tilde{\eta}) \;&:=\; \tilde{\omega}_k N_k \\ &=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big(|v_k'|^2 + \tilde{\omega}_k^2|v_k|^2 - 2\tilde{\omega}_k\Big)\;.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Notice that, following the initial condition at the quantum bounce, $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}_b$, we have that $\varrho_k(\tilde{\eta}_b) = 0$.

Our aim will be then to derive expression for the number of particle N_k in the adiabatic regime. By substituting the mode function $\underline{v}_k$ and its derivative, $\underline{v}_k'$, from Eqs. (A8) and (A9) in expression above, we obtain the number of particles up to the 4th adiabatic order, as

$$N_k \;=\; N_k^{(0)} + N_k^{(2)} + N_k^{(4)}\;, \tag{4.11}$$

where, in terms of zeroth, second and fourth adiabatic orders, amount of particles production are

$$N_k^{(0)} = 0\;, \qquad N_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16}\frac{(\tilde{\omega}_k')^2}{\tilde{\omega}_k^4}\;, \qquad N_k^{(4)} = \frac{1}{16}\left[\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2 + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k'}{\tilde{\omega}_k^3}\epsilon_2'^{(3)} - \frac{(\tilde{\omega}_k')^2}{2\tilde{\omega}_k^4}\epsilon_2^{(2)}\right]. \tag{4.12}$$

Equation above implies that, no particle production occurs in the zeroth order adiabatic expansion of mode function (or superadiabatic regime). Particles are created only for expansions of adiabatic series equal to and more than two. In the deep ultra-violet regime, for massive modes with $m\tilde{c} \ll 1$, from second relation in (4.12) we get the second order adiabatic particle number as

$$N_k^{(2)} \;\approx\; \left(\frac{\sigma'}{6\sigma^{1/3}}\right)^2\frac{1}{k^2}\;, \tag{4.13}$$

which depends on the isotropy-breaking factor $\sigma(\tilde{\eta})$. It is clear that, for modes with bigger mass, expression (4.13) will contain further (positive) terms including $m\tilde{c}'(\tilde{\eta})$. So, number of created particles with higher mass would be more abundant than lighter ones. For massless modes, from Eq. (4.12) we further obtain

$$N_k^{(0)} = N_k^{(2)} = 0, \qquad N_k^{(4)} = \frac{\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2}{16} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2}{16k^4}\;, \tag{4.14}$$

with $\mathcal{Q} = -\tilde{a}''/\tilde{a}$. This indicates that, no particle creation would occur up to the second order in adiabatic series, in this case. However, in the fourth order, little amount of particle will be created. Therefore, in adiabatic limit, more massive particles would be created than massless ones.

The density of created particle, for each mode k, is obtained from Eqs. (4.10) and (4.12) as

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_k &= \tilde{\omega}_k\Big(N_k^{(0)} + N_k^{(2)} + N_k^{(4)}\Big) \ . \\ &= \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{(\tilde{\omega}_k')^2}{\tilde{\omega}_k^3} + \tilde{\omega}_k\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2 - \frac{(\tilde{\omega}_k')^2}{2\tilde{\omega}_k^3}\epsilon_2^{(2)} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k'}{\tilde{\omega}_k^2}\epsilon_2'^{(3)}\right] \\ &=: \varrho_k^{(0)} + \varrho_k^{(2)} + \varrho_k^{(4)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

By substituting this in Eq. (4.9) it is clear that, since ϱ_k does not fall off faster than k^{-4} when $k \to \infty$, the total energy density of (adiabatic) particle productions would diverge. Since the zeroth adiabatic order term in the energy density is zero, $\varrho_k^{(0)} = 0$, the divergences are included in the second and fourth order terms (for massive modes). For massless modes, energy density of created particles is given by fourth order term only, as

$$\varrho_k = \varrho_k^{(4)} = \frac{(\tilde{a}'')^2}{16\tilde{a}^2k^3} \ . \tag{4.16}$$

So, the divergence is included in fourth order term only. The renormalized energy density of created particles can be obtained by subtraction of adiabatic vacuum energy of particle productions up to 4th order, which is clearly equal to zero. Therefore, no back-reaction of (adiabatic) particles is present, and the quantum field effects associated with particle production do not change the character of the background dressed geometry.

B. Particle production in a classical de Sitter regime

In this subsection, we present a scenario for particle production in the classical region, due to quantum vacuum effects in Planck era. For a co-moving observer, by fixing the Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{\rm F}$ for the quantum modes propagating on the quantized FLRW background (where no back-reaction effect of the field is present), it seems natural that changing the background geometry (due to quantum gravity damping), leads to changing the mode function v_k of the field and its associated vacuum state. We have described the structure of the vacuum in the Planck era ($\eta < \eta_p$) of early universe, where quantum gravity effects provide an anisotropic dressed background for quantized modes. However, for the post-Planckian regime $\eta > \eta_p$, in the early universe, where space-time is completely classical, inflation has an important role in description of cosmic evolution. In what follows, we will introduce a convenient vacuum state for the inflationary phase of the universe at early times.

The de Sitter space-time approximates the inflationary stage of the evolution of the universe. In the de Sitter background (with a constant Hubble rate H), the frequency (3.10) for each mode, reduces to [3]

$$\Omega_k^2(\eta) = k^2 - \Big(2 - \frac{m^2}{H^2}\Big)\frac{1}{\eta^2} \ . \tag{4.17}$$

The relevant value of H, in the early universe governed by de Sitter space-time, is much larger than the masses of elementary particles, $m \ll H$. For a certain time interval, for instance $\eta_p < \eta < \eta_f$, where the de Sitter approximation is valid, the vacuum state is naturally given by the Bunch-Davies (BD) vacuum prescription. At very early times (large negative $\eta \gtrsim \eta_p$), the BD vacuum state can be used only for modes v_k such that $k|\eta| \gg 1$ (sub-horizon modes). In this short-distance limit, we may neglect η^{-2} in Eq. (4.17) and approximately set $\tilde{\omega}_k \sim k$. This indicates that, the field modes are not significantly affected by gravity in this limit [3]. For the sub-horizon mode v_k, the adiabatic regime lasts from η_p until the horizon crossing time η_k such that $k|\eta_k| \sim 1$. Therefore, within the time interval $\eta_p < \eta < \eta_k$, the BD mode function is approximately equal to the Minkowski mode function [3],

$$v_k(\eta) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}e^{-ik(\eta-\eta_p)} \ , \qquad \eta_p < \eta < \eta_k \ . \tag{4.18}$$

We denote $\eta_p < \eta < \eta_k$ in the classical de Sitter geometry, the 'out' region. The mode function (4.18) is, thus, associated to the 'out' vacuum state $|0_{\rm out}\rangle$.

In the deep Planck era, we assume that the earliest available time is $\tilde{\eta}_b$, the quantum bounce, so that we approximate the vacuum state to be given by a super-adiabatic expansion of mode function (3.21), as

$$u_k(\tilde{\eta}) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_b}}e^{-i\tilde{\omega}_b(\tilde{\eta}-\tilde{\eta}_b)} \ , \qquad \tilde{\eta} < \tilde{\eta}_b \ , \tag{4.19}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_b \equiv \tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{\eta}_b) = (\sigma^{-\frac{4}{3}}k^2 + m^2\tilde{c}_b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is static. This region is denoted the 'in' region, with the mode function (4.19) associated to the 'in' vacuum state $|0_{\rm in}\rangle$. Since, in the Heisenberg picture, the initial vacuum state $|0_{\rm in}\rangle$ is the state of the system for all time, we can compute the number of v_k-mode particles in the 'in' state. By substituting v_k from (4.18) in Eq. (4.8) together with $\tilde{\omega}_k = \tilde{\omega}_b$, we find the number of particle productions, in the de Sitter regime (the 'out' region), as (cf. see [38])

$$N_k \;=\; \frac{\tilde{\omega}_b}{4k}\left(1 - \frac{k}{\tilde{\omega}_b}\right)^2 \;. \tag{4.20}$$

It is clear that, when the initial and final frequencies are equal, the number of particles will be zero. For the large wave number k (i.e., in the ultra-violet regime) particle number N_k is approximated as

$$N_k \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big[\big(\sigma^{\frac{2}{3}} + \sigma^{-\frac{2}{3}} - 2\big) + \frac{m^2}{2k^2}\tilde{c}_b\big(\sigma^{\frac{2}{3}} - \sigma^2\big) + \frac{m^4}{8k^4}\tilde{c}_b^2\big(3\sigma^{\frac{10}{3}} - \sigma^2\big)\Big] + \mathcal{O}(k^{-6}) \;. \tag{4.21}$$

By setting $m = 0$, or negligible values of $\tilde{c}_b$ in Eq. (4.21), it reduces to

$$N_k \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Big(\sigma^{\frac{2}{3}} + \sigma^{-\frac{2}{3}} - 2\Big) \;. \tag{4.22}$$

We should notice that, for the *massless* modes, the dressed background is strictly isotropic, $\sigma = 1$, so that, the number of particle productions (4.22) is zero (cf. see also Eq. (4.20) when setting $\tilde{\omega}_b = k$). For massive modes, particles will be created in the out region, whose amount depends on the mass m of the field, and the isotropy-breaking parameter $\sigma \equiv 1 + \delta$ (with $\delta \neq 0$) in Planck regime (the in region).

In order to understand better this dependance, let us consider a mean field approximation in the quantum background, in which $\langle \hat{H}_o^{-1/2}\hat{a}^n\hat{H}_o^{-1/2}\rangle \sim \hat{H}_o^{-1}\langle\hat{a}^n\rangle$ for any integer n. Using this in Eq. (2.27) we obtain

$$\sigma \;=\; \frac{\langle\hat{a}^6\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\langle\hat{a}^4\rangle^{\frac{3}{4}}} \;. \tag{4.23}$$

Let us now define the quantities $\delta_1 \equiv \big(\langle\hat{a}^6\rangle - \langle\hat{a}\rangle^6\big)/\langle\hat{a}\rangle^6$ and $\delta_2 \equiv \big(\langle\hat{a}^4\rangle - \langle\hat{a}\rangle^4\big)/\langle\hat{a}\rangle^4$, which present non-classicality of the background geometry. By substituting these relations in Eq. (4.23), the anisotropy factor can be approximated as

$$\sigma \;=\; \frac{(1+\delta_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\delta_2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \;\approx\; 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 - \frac{3}{4}\delta_2 \;=:\; 1 + \delta \;. \tag{4.24}$$

So, depending on the values of δ_1 and δ_1, anisotropy factor δ can be positive or negative. The case with $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ (so that $\delta = 0$) can be interpreted as a purely classical, isotropic geometry. Fig. 1 shows the possible values of parameters δ_1 and δ_2. The red curve in this figure represents the possible values for parameters δ_1 and δ_2 for which the *massive* modes probe an *isotropic* geometry (where $\delta = 0$). Two other curves correspond to the values of parameters δ_1 and δ_2 for which $\delta \neq 0$, so that, the dressed background probed by the massive modes are totally anisotropic in the direction of propagation.

For the cases with $\delta_1, \delta_2 \neq 0$ (in quantum background), Fig. 2 represents different scenarios for evolution of particle number N_k and it corresponding density $\varrho_k = \tilde{\omega}_b N_k$, with respect to σ, for different values of m. Let us denote $\sigma_{\rm min} = 1 + \delta_{\rm min}$ to the point at which N_k is minimum, that is, production amount is zero. It is straightforward to find the location of $\sigma_{\rm min}$ by setting $\tilde{\omega}_b = k$ in Eq. (4.20), and solve the resulting equation for σ. From Fig. 2 it is clear that, the location of $\sigma_{\rm min}$ depends on the value of the mass of the field. That is, for higher mass, this minimum is located at bigger σ. For the case $m = 0$, the minimum of N_k occurs at $\sigma_{\rm min} = 1$, which is shown by 'gray' curve in Fig. 2. This curve represents the behaviour of N_k given by Eq. (4.22). However, since the dressed background is fully isotropic in this case, the only physically relevant region on the 'gray' curve is the point $(\sigma = 1, N_k = 0)$, which corresponds to no production scenario. For *massive* modes, $\sigma_{\rm min}$ is always $\sigma_{\rm min} > 1$ (cf. see 'blue' and 'red' curves in Fig. 2). Following the Fig. 2, we summarize the properties of particle productions, for *massive* modes, for different ranges of anisotropy factor and the mass of the field:

(i) For $\sigma < \sigma_{\rm min}$, the production amount N_k is bigger for smaller values of σ. Therefore, less particles are created for higher quantum-induced anisotropy factor δ. (Notice that, $\delta < 0$ in this case.) Moreover, heavier particles are more abundant in this region.



FIG. 1: This plot shows the (exact) solutions, for δ_1 and δ_2, to the equation (4.24), in the cases $\sigma > 1$ (green), $\sigma = 1$ (red) and $\sigma < 1$ (blue). The point $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ on the curves represents the only possible solution in classical theory.

(ii) For $\sigma = \sigma_{\rm min} > 1$, as we discussed, production is zero, $N_k = 0$, for *massive* modes.

(iii) In the regime $\sigma > \sigma_{\rm min}$ (or $\delta > 0$), production grows for higher rate of anisotropy. Moreover, lighter particles are more abundant in this region.



FIG. 2: This figure represents the changes of production amount (4.20) (left) and its density, $\varrho_k = \tilde{\omega}_b N_k$ (right), for each mode k, with respect to the anisotropy factor $\sigma = 1 + \delta$, for different non-zero values of m: $m/k = 0.1$ (blue curve), $m/k = 0.06$ (red curve), $m/k = 0.03$ (green curve) and $m/k = 0$ (gray curve).

In equation (4.24), the condition $\delta = 0$ corresponds to an isotropic dressed background (with $\sigma = 1$) for massive modes (cf. see the red curve in Fig. 1). In this case, Eq. (4.21) reduces to

$$N_k \approx \frac{m^4}{16k^4}\tilde{c}_b^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-6}) \,. \tag{4.25}$$

It turns out that little amount of particles would be created for massive modes, which depends on the location of the bounce in the quantum theory of gravity (cf. see also the region where $\sigma \sim 1$ in Fig. 2). However, we expect no particle creation in the presence of *massless* modes (cf. see Ref. [10] and references therein). In addition, in classical cosmology there is no bounce, so that, one may set $\tilde{c} = a^2 = 0$ in Eq. (4.25), at the initial big bang singularity, where $\eta \to -\infty$. Therefore, no particle production occurs in classical theory.

V. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper we considered the theory of (inhomogeneous) test quantum fields propagating on a background FLRW space-time, following the strategy presented in Ref. [24]. It turns out that, the background geometry is modified due to quantum gravity effects in the Planck era, so that, the quantum modes of the fields probe an effective smooth geometry. Being more specific, following the LQC approach (cf. section II), a background quantum FLRW geometry (coupled to a massless homogeneous field ϕ which serves as the *internal* time), denoted by variables (ν, ϕ), is provided on which an inhomogeneous quantized field φ propagates. By regarding the background geometry as the *heavy* degree of freedom and each mode of the test field φ as *light* degree of freedom, the total Hamiltonian operator is simplified, on the right hand side of a Schrödinger-like equation for the total state of the geometry-test field system $\Psi(\nu, \mathtt{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi)$. It follows that, one can consider a natural assumption on the total state as $\Psi(\nu, \mathtt{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi) = \Psi_o(\nu, \phi) \otimes \psi(\mathtt{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi)$, where, the wave function Ψ_o represents the probability amplitude for occurrence of the various background FLRW geometries which replaces the classical FLRW space-time. By rewriting the tensor product of the total state in the interaction picture (at a given initial time ϕ_b), and taking the scalar product in the Schrödinger equation (see Eq. (2.11)) with respect to Ψ_o, an evolution equation (cf. Eq. (2.13)) is obtained for $\psi(\mathtt{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi)$ with respect to the relational time ϕ. A comparison between the resulting evolution equation for the state $\psi(\mathtt{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi)$ of massive modes, and the evolution of ψ on a class of Bianchi type I space-time, two classes of solutions were found for the test field propagating on a smooth 'dressed effective' background geometry:

(i) for *massless* modes of the test field, the dressed background has an isotropic and homogeneous form with an scale factor $\tilde{a}(\phi)$, given by (2.20), which is a function of the expectation values of the geometrical operators of the corresponding quantum geometry (cf. Refs. [24]);

(ii) for *massive* modes, the background effective space-time is given by a Bianchi type-I geometry (when $\sigma \neq 1$), denoted by the scale factors $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3$, which has a specific symmetry: anisotropy of the space-time is only in the direction $\mathbf{k} = k_3 \hat{z}$, of the field propagation; $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2 = \tilde{a}(\phi) \neq \tilde{a}_3$, where $\tilde{a}_3 = \sigma \tilde{a}$ (cf. see Eq. (2.28)). Notice that, there can be, as well, solutions such that $\sigma = 1$ for massive modes (cf. see subsection IV B and Fig. 1). These solutions are consistent with a similar analysis of quantized test field propagating on a quantum FLRW geometry coupled to a *dust* matter field [26].

The study of inhomogeneous, anisotropic universe is of particular interest in general relativity, in order to avoid postulating special initial conditions as well as the existence of particle horizon in isotropic models [36]. Nevertheless, from observational evidence based on the thermal background radiation, it is accepted that the spatial universe and its expansion in time are quite isotropic. To bring about the observed isotropy in such models at sufficiently early times ($t \leq 10^{-1}$ sec.) requires a dynamical mechanism for damping inhomogeneity and anisotropy. In particular, it was suggested that any initial anisotropy gave rise to creation of real or virtual particles, and if the back-reaction of this process on the gravitational field tended to drive the geometry toward isotropy [11, 37]. In the herein model, anisotropy of dressed geometry is only an effect provided by the quantum nature of geometry in Planck era. Therefore, as long as the quantum gravity effects are valid near Planck time, $t < t_p$, the background space-time probed by quantized fields are anisotropic. Then, for longer time, which is still very early enough to bring about the observed isotropy, quantum gravity effects dilute and the universe isotropizes towards classical regime. The present theory of quantum test field on the resulting quantum gravity inspired anisotropic geometry provides a framework to investigate scenarios of the very early universe in the Planck era. This constitutes our main concern in sections III and IV.

Our goal in section III and IV was to investigate the theory of quantized massive test field φ and the possible phenomenological issues it provides, on the resulting dressed Bianchi type-I geometry. In subsection III A we constructed the Klein-Gordon equation (cf. see Eq. (3.9)) for the massive modes on the dressed Bianchi type-I geometry in a conformal time coordinate. Then, in subsection III B we introduced a WKB solution as an approximation to the mode function solution of the Klein-Gordon equation (3.9) which satisfies the adiabatic conditions. We computed the leading order contributions to the adiabatic expansion of the exact mode function, as solution to the Klein-Gordon equation. By analyzing, in subsection III C, the fourth order expansion in adiabatic series it was shown that, the adiabatic vacuum state $|0\rangle$ associated to the WKB modes has the virtue that the divergent parts of the quantum expectation value $\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle$ of the energy-momentum tensor are isolated in the vacuum piece of $\langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle$, and are removed using adiabatic subtraction.

Particle production by time-dependent gravitational field is a general prediction of QFT in a curved background at early universe. This mechanism provides a circumstance in which one can measure and observe interesting QFT phenomena from quantum gravity regime in Planck era (cf. see Ref. [38] for the issue of particle creation phenomena in a bouncing scenario of LQC). In section IV particle production from vacuum fluctuations, in the presence of quantum gravity corrections, within two scenarios in early time universe were briefly revisited. In the first scenario (subsection IV A), we computed the number of particle production in Planck regime, up to the fourth order in adiabatic series.

It was shown that, no particle production occurs in the zeroth order adiabatic expansion (or superadiabatic regime) of mode function. For massive modes, production occurred for expansion of adiabatic series equal to and more than two. However, massless particles were only created for adiabatic series of order equal to and bigger than four. In the second scenario (subsection IV B), the issue of particle production in transition from Planck era to a classical (de Sitter) regime, was addressed. We found that, the number of particle creation for each mode, in the de Sitter background, has a particular dependance on the *mass* of the field and the *anisotropy parameter* σ, induced by quantum gravity effects. When the early quantum universe is anisotropic due to the existence of massive modes, $\sigma \neq 1$, particles might be created in the classical region. However, in the presence of *massless* modes, *no* particle would be produced to any great extent.

On the physical Hilbert space of the theory of homogeneous LQC, the expectation value of the energy density operator $\hat{\rho}_\phi$ of the background matter field, is bounded by $0.41\rho_{\rm Pl}$ at the bounce point, thus, we do not expect any difficulty with the (quantum geometry related) trans-Planckian issue in the Planck regime. But, if the energy densities of quantum fields or the particles production they induce, on this quantum background geometry fails to be negligible in comparison to the energy density of the background itself, then we are not able to neglect the back-reaction of the field on the geometry. In such a situation, the back-reaction can change the total state $\Psi = \Psi_o \otimes \psi$ to a nearby state $\Psi \sim (\Psi_o + \delta\Psi_o) \otimes \psi$, so that our approximation to treat the field on a dressed background fails to be self-consistent, and we may face to the trans-Planckian problem in the very early quantum universe. This would require a careful treatment of regularization of the stress-energy tensor of quantum modes of test field. Nevertheless, our analysis showed that, as far as the test field approximation is valid, the four order adiabatic expansion of the (renormalized) vacuum energy of scalar field and the (regularized) density of particle productions are well-defined on the effective geometry, so no trans-Planckian issue would exist in the very early history of the universe.

In our herein consideration of test field, we followed Ref. [24] by restricting our analysis only to a finite number of modes of the test scalar field and thus, we avoided the ultra-violet difficulties at the beginning. On section III we assumed that the theory of quantum fields in the emerging anisotropic geometry is valid for all (say infinitely many) modes of the field. Then, by an adiabatic analysis of the vacuum state associated to the field's modes, we have studied the ultra-violet divergences of vacuum energy and its regularization at later times in the Planck era. However, in order to consider all modes of the field from the beginning, one may need to start with a 'perturbative' approach to study the quantum fields on the quantum cosmological space-times [28, 31]. More precisely, as in Ref. [28] a quantized test field $\varphi(t, \mathbf{x})$ can be considered as an inhomogeneous perturbation added to the homogeneous sector $\phi(t)$, constituting the matter source of the geometry. Then, for a *massive* scalar perturbation $\varphi(t, \mathbf{x})$ on a perturbed quantum FLRW background, one can pass to the reduced, truncated phase space $\Gamma_{\rm trun} = \Gamma_o \times \Gamma_{\rm pert}$ and replace $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ (of our herein model) by a convenient gauge invariant (Mukhanov-Sasaki) variable $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$, with the conjugate momentum $\Pi_{\mathbf{k}}$ (following the method of Ref. [39]). Then, the Hamiltonian of the perturbation can be written in the form

$$H_\Phi(x_0) \;=\; \frac{N_{x_0}}{2a^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\Pi_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + \mathrm{w}_k^2 |\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \;, \tag{5.1}$$

for some frequency $\mathrm{w}_k(x_0)$ provided by the particular model employed. Note that, the summation in the expression of (5.1) is a sum over all modes $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ of the test quantum field at all times. In using this approach, one may consider that inhomogeneous perturbations constitute only the scalar perturbation, given by the quantum modes of the test field, whereas no metric perturbation is present. In this case, the gauge invariant variable $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and its conjugate momentum $\Pi_{\mathbf{k}}$, for an unperturbed FLRW metric, are given by [28]

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \;, \qquad \Pi_{\mathbf{k}} = \pi_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\kappa\gamma p_\phi^2}{2\ell^6 a^3 b} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \;. \tag{5.2}$$

In quantum theory, the dynamics on the homogeneous sector is generated by a Hamiltonian constraint, while the dynamics on the full truncated phase space $\Gamma_{\rm trun} = \Gamma_o \times \Gamma_\Phi$ is not generated by any Hamiltonian. However, within the test field approximation inherent to the truncation strategy, it can be shown that, propagation of the quantized field $\hat{\Phi}$, representing inhomogeneous scalar perturbation, on the quantum geometry (denoted by Ψ_o), is completely equivalent to that of its propagation on an effective (quantum corrected) smooth metric $\tilde{g}_{ab}$, whose components are given as functions of the expectation values of the background quantum geometry operators, with respect to Ψ_o. Therefore, with a similar strategy as we have done on section II B of herein paper, we may find a specific effective (an)isotropic space-time on which quantum fields propagate[8]. On such a smooth dressed metric we are allowed to

[8] Notice that in this approach, the components of the resulting dressed geometry (for the case of massless field) will be similar, but for infinitely many modes of the field.

translate the evolution of $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with respect to the relational time ϕ to that of a conformal time $\tilde{\eta}$, as we have introduced in section III. It should be noticed that, in order to compare our representation of quantum fields propagating on the quantum FLRW background, to that of its propagation on an effective dressed Bianchi I space-time, we need first to have at hand, the (classical) Hamiltonian formalism of the gauge invariance perturbation on a Bianchi I space-time. Therefore, further analyses are required in order to extend such model to perturbations of inhomogeneous fields on an anisotropic background space-time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

YT thanks Brazilian agency FAPES/CAPES for financial support. JCF thanks Brazilian agencies CNPq and FAPES for partial financial support. This work was also supported by the project CERN/FP/123609/2011.

Appendix A: Fourth order adiabatic vacuum energy for a minimally coupled scalar field

We notice that $\mathcal{Q}$ in Eq. (3.14) is second order in time derivative of the metric components, while $X \equiv \tilde{\omega}_k^2$ is of zeroth order. From (3.11) we have that $Y = X + \mathcal{Q}$, so Y contains zeroth and second order terms. Next, from Eqs. (3.23), (3.24), (3.28) and (3.29) we can rewrite ϵ_2 and ϵ_4 as

$$\epsilon_2 = -\frac{1}{4}Y^{-2}Y'' + \frac{5}{16}Y^{-3}(Y')^2 + X^{-1}\mathcal{Q}\,, \tag{A1}$$

$$\epsilon_4 = -\frac{1}{4}Y^{-1}(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})^{-2}\Big[\underline{\epsilon_2}'' - \frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}Y'\underline{\epsilon_2}' - \frac{5}{4}(1+\underline{\epsilon_2})^{-1}(\underline{\epsilon_2}')^2\Big] + \underline{\epsilon_2}X^{-1}\mathcal{Q}\,. \tag{A2}$$

where

$$\underline{\epsilon_2} = -\frac{1}{4}Y^{-2}Y'' + \frac{5}{16}Y^{-3}(Y')^2\,. \tag{A3}$$

It is clear that $\underline{\epsilon_2}$ contains terms of second, fourth and higher orders in time derivative of the metric components. Then, the first derivative of $\underline{\epsilon_2}$ contains third and higher order terms. Consequently, $\underline{\epsilon_2}''$ contains terms of orders equal to and bigger than four. Therefore, ϵ_2 contains second and higher order terms. Using these in Eq. (A2) we conclude that the leading order term in ϵ_4 is of order four. Now, we can decompose ϵ_2 (up to the fourth order), as

$$\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2^{(2)} + \epsilon_2^{(4)} + \text{Higher order terms}, \tag{A4}$$

where

$$\epsilon_2^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4}X^{-2}X'' + \frac{5}{16}X^{-3}(X')^2 + X^{-1}\mathcal{Q}\,, \tag{A5}$$

$$\epsilon_2^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{4}X^{-2}\mathcal{Q}'' + \frac{1}{2}X^{-3}X''\mathcal{Q} + \frac{5}{8}X^{-3}X'\mathcal{Q}' - \frac{15}{16}X^{-4}(X')^2\mathcal{Q}\,. \tag{A6}$$

Similarly, decomposition of ϵ_4 in terms of fourth and higher order terms is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_4 &= \epsilon_4^{(4)} + \text{ Higher order terms} \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}X^{-1}\Big[\underline{\epsilon_2}'' - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\epsilon_2}'X^{-1}X'\Big] + \underline{\epsilon_2}X^{-1}\mathcal{Q} \\
&= \frac{1}{16}X''''X^{-3} - \frac{1}{8}X'''X'X^{-4} + \frac{3}{8}(X')^2X''X^{-5} + \frac{5}{64}\Big[3X'' - 4(X')^2X^{-1}\Big](X')^2X^{-5} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8}X^{-1}\Big((X'')^2 + X'X'''\Big)\Big(X^{-3} + \frac{5}{4}\Big) - \frac{1}{32}X'''X^{-4}X' + \frac{1}{16}X^{-2}(X')^2X''\Big(X^{-3} + \frac{5}{4}\Big) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\Big[X'' - \frac{5}{4}X^{-1}(X')^2\Big]X^{-3}\mathcal{Q} - \frac{5}{128}(X')^4X^{-6} + \text{ Higher order terms}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

For each $\underline{v}_k$ in the summand (3.31), by using the adiabatic expansion, it can be shown that all ultra-violet divergences are contained in terms of adiabatic order equal to and smaller than four. Our task now is to expand the energy (3.32)

and pressure (3.39) for each mode k in asymptotic series, by using adiabatic approximation given in (3.27). To the fourth order we have

$$|\underline{v}_k|^2 = \big(W_k(\tilde{\eta})\big)^{-1} = \tilde{\omega}_k^{-1}\Big[1-\frac{1}{2}\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}+\epsilon_2^{(4)}+\epsilon_4^{(4)}\big)+\frac{3}{8}\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2\Big], \tag{A8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\underline{v}'_k|^2 &= W_k(\tilde{\eta})+\frac{1}{4}\big(W_k(\tilde{\eta})\big)^{-3}\big(W'_k(\tilde{\eta})\big)^2 \\ &= \tilde{\omega}_k\Big[1+\frac{1}{2}\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}+\epsilon_2^{(4)}+\epsilon_4^{(4)}\big)-\frac{1}{8}\big(\epsilon_2^{(2)}\big)^2\Big]+\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\omega}_k^{-3}(\tilde{\omega}'_k)^2\Big[1-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2^{(2)}\Big]+\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\omega}'_k\tilde{\omega}_k^{-2}\epsilon_2'^{(3)},\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

$$2\mathrm{Re}(\underline{v}_k\underline{v}'^*_k) = -W'_k(\tilde{\eta})\big(W_k(\tilde{\eta})\big)^{-2} = -\tilde{\omega}_k^{-1}\Big[\frac{\tilde{\omega}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k}\Big(1-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2^{(2)}\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2'^{(3)}\Big], \tag{A10}$$

where, $2\mathrm{Re}(\underline{v}_k\underline{v}'^*_k)=\big(\underline{v}^*_k\underline{v}'_k+\underline{v}_k\underline{v}'^*_k\big)$. In relations above, $\epsilon_2^{(2)}$, $\epsilon_2^{(4)}$ and $\epsilon_4^{(4)}$ are given by Eqs. (A5), (A6) and (A7). By replacing Eqs. (A8) and (A9) and (A10) in (3.32), we obtain the zeroth, second and fourth adiabatic order of vacuum energy density as given in Eqs. (3.35), (3.36) and (3.37). By a similar analysis, the vacuum expectation value of the pressure was computed in Eqs (3.44)-(3.46), up to the fourth adiabatic order.

[1] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press 1982).
[2] R. M. Wald, *Quantum field theory in curved space-time and black hole thermodynamics* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1994).
[3] V. Mukhanov and S. Winitzki, *Introduction to Quantum Effects in Gravity*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007)
[4] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
[5] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure* (Cambridge University press, Cambridge, 2000).
[6] S. Dodelson, *Modern Cosmology*, (Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003).
[7] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
[8] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, (Oxford University press, Oxford 2008).
[9] D. S. Gorbunov and V. A. Rubokov, *Introduction to the Theory of the Early Universe: Cosmological perturbations and Inflationary Theory*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2010).
[10] Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. A. Starobinsky, *Particle Production and Vacuum Polarization in an Anisotropic Gravitational Field*, Zh. Exp. Theor. Fiz. **61**, 2161 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 1159 (1972)].
[11] Ya. B. Zeldovich, Pis. ma Red. Zh. Exp. Theor. Fiz. **12**, 443 (1970) [Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 307 (1970)];
[12] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, 1975).
[13] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, *Background independent quantum gravity: A status report*, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, R53-R152 (2004).
[14] C. Rovelli,*Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)).
[15] T. Thiemann, *Introduction to Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2007)).
[16] A. Ashtekar, *Loop Quantum Cosmology: An Overview* (2007), Gen. Rel. and Grav. (at Press), `arXiv:0812.0177`
[17] A. Ashtekar, M. Bojowald and J. Lewandowski, *Mathematical structure of loop quantum cosmology.* Adv. Theo. Math. Phys. **7**, 233–268 (2003).
[18] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, *Quantum nature of the big bang*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 141301 (2006).
[19] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, *Quantum nature of the big bang: An analytical and numerical investigation I*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 124038 (2006).
[20] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, *Quantum nature of the big bang: Improved dynamics*, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084003 (2006).
[21] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi and P. Singh, *Robustness of predictions of loop quantum cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024046 (2008).
[22] A. Ashtekar and E. Wilson-Ewing, *Loop quantum cosmology of Bianchi I models*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 083535 (2009).
[23] A. Ashtekar, P. Singh, *Loop Quantum Cosmology: A Status Report*, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 213001 (2011).
[24] A. Ashtekar, W. Kaminski and J. Lewandowski, *Quantum field theory on a cosmological, quantum space-time*, Phys.Rev. D **79**, 0644030 (2009).
[25] A. Dapor, J. Lewandowski, and Y. Tavakoli, *Lorentz Symmetry in QFT on Quantum Bianchi I Space-Time*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 064013 (2012).
[26] A. Dapor and J. Lewandowski, *Metric emerging to massive modes in quantum cosmological space-times*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 063512 (2013).
[27] M. Assanioussi, A. Dapor and J. Lewandowski, *Rainbow metric from quantum gravity*, (2014) arXiv:1412.6000 [gr-qc].

[28] I. Agullo, A. Ashtekar, W. Nelson, *Extension of the quantum theory of cosmological perturbations to the Planck era*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 043507 (2013).
[29] I. Agullo, A. Ashtekar, W. Nelson, *The pre-inflationary dynamics of loop quantum cosmology: confronting quantum gravity with observations*, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 085014 (2013).
[30] A. Ashtekar, A. Barrau, *Loop quantum cosmology: From pre-inflationary dynamics to observations*, (2015) arXiv: 1504.07559 [gr-qc].
[31] Andrea Dapor, Jerzy Lewandowski and Jacek Puchta, *QFT on quantum spacetime: a compatible classical framework*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 104038 (2013).
[32] L. Parker and S. A. Fulling, *Adiabatic regularization of the energy-momentum tensor of a quantized field in homogeneous spaces*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 341 (1974).
[33] S. A. Fulling, L. Parker and B. L. Hu, *Conformal energy-momentum tensor in curved spacetime: Adiabatic regularization and renormalization*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 3905 (1974).
[34] B. Chakraborty, *The mathematical problem of reflection solved by an extension of the WKB method*, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 188 (1973).
[35] B. L. Hu, *Scalar waves in the mixmaster universe. II. Particle creation*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3263 (1974).
[36] C. W. Misner, Astrophys. J. **151**, 431 (1968); Phys. Rev. Lett. **22**, 1071 (1969); Phys. Rev. **186**, 1319 (1969).
[37] B. L. Hu and L. Parker, *Anisotropy damping through quantum effects in the early universe*, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 933 (1977).
[38] Y. Tavakoli and J. C. Fabris, *Creation of particles in a cyclic universe driven by loop quantum cosmology*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, 1550062 (2015).
[39] D. Langlois, *Hamiltonian formalism and gauge invariance for linear perturbations in inflation*, Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 389 (1994).